

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			· • • ·	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/500,460	02/09/2000	Steven M, Lloyd	TPP 30555A	2644
75	90 08/02/2002			
Thomas P Pavelko Esq			. EXAMINER	
Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher L L P			MULCAHY, PETER D	
1615 L Street N W				
Suite 850				
Washington, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
washington, De	20030			
			1713	f(C)
			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2002	/ X
				/ 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A3-1:
, &	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/500,460	LLOYD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
HE REPLY FILED 18 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE herefore, further action by the applicant is required to nal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of t r: (1) a timely filed amend opeal (with appeal fee); or	this application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) o	or b)]
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing da		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	er than SIX MONTHS from the ma /AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT e date on which the petition under	ailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exportance of the shortest of the shortes	ened statutory period for reply orig	inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	d because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fu	urther consideration and/or	r search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	te below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appe	al by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding nu	umber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: the claimed combination of limitations	raises new issues.	
B. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submit	tted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ reques application in condition for allowance because		peen considered but does NOT place the
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims	· / /—	• —
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ws:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)[disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s)
0. Other:		Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713